

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,585	06/17/2005	Yusei Miyamoto	Q88412	4514
23373 7590 09/15/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PAK, JOHN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Response to Rule 312 Communication

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,585	MIYAMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Pak	1616	

	and Trademark Office ( Rev. 04-01) Reponse to Rule 312 Communication	Part of Paper No. 20080911
	/John Pak/ Primary Examinet	r, Art Unit 1616
wl If	y inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Exc ose telephone number is (571)272-0620. The Examiner can normally be reached ttempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's SPE 46.	on Monday to Friday from 8 AM to 4:30 PM.
Th	erefore, the 312 amendment is improper and cannot be entered.	
dif ex- pa: pro Ar	pliemt's proposed amendments do not show the changes that were entered in the Examines ferent margins so the Examiner's amendment directions to amend at specific line numbers imple illustrates the point. In the Examiner's Amdament, "active" was deleted and replace agraphi (1006) — using the line numbering of the original specification, this corresponds to posed 312 amendment does not show these changes; rather, the version of the prangraph p damenter is used to show markings. Note again, this is merely an example or the problem across of the same problem exist, wherein previous changes are not shown in the replacem	do not match up exactly, but the following d with "reactive" in three instances at page 2, lines 25, 26 and 28. Applicant's rior to the entry of the Examiner's with the 312 amendment. Numerous other
pro	312 amendment seeks to delete "active" and insert therefor — reactive —. Several other blem however is that many of such deletions, insertions, and corrections were already dom 1/2008. See pages 3-5 therein.	
e)	entered in part. See explanation below.	
d)	☑ disapproved. See explanation below.	
c)	disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompand and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.	
,	<ul> <li>entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.</li> </ul>	
	he amendment filed on <u>09 September 2008</u> under 37 CFR 1.312 has been consident of the control o	ered, and has been:
	I ne MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover snee	t with the correspondence address –
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence address –